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Abstract
A discourse on the justification of radical act faked as jihad based on Fakhr al-Dîn al-Râzî’s perspective is the cause of this study. The question is “How is al-Râzî’s opinion on verses used to justify radical acts which are faked as jihad?” This study uses the perspective of Fakhr al-Dîn al-Râzî’s Tafsîr bi al-Ra’yi. It is library research employing a qualitative explorative paradigm. All data are in the form of documentation; primary data are from Mafâtîh al-Ghayb and book entitled Aku Melawan Teroris as well as other secondary data. The study shows, first: jihad and its meaning are relevant to the period of Quran revelation to date and theologically they will also be relevant in the future; nothing changes from jihad’s concept and substance except the situation and condition. Second, there are five verses in Quran becoming the justification basis of radical acts in the name of jihad i.e., Q.S. al-Tawbah [9]: 5, Q.S. al-Tawbah [9]: 14, Q.S. al-Tawbah [9]: 36, Q.S. Anfâl [8]: 39, and Q.S. al-Baqarah [2]: 191; yet it is contra-productive for al-Râzî. Through this study, al-Râzî’s tafsîr model is expected to be a formula and new opinion to deeply comprehend, contextual wise, the meaning of those verses.

Kajian ini muncul dari adanya wacana pembenaran terhadap tindakan radikal dengan dalih jihad melalui perspektif Fakhr al-Dîn al-Râzî,
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**Introduction**

_Aku Melawan Teroris_ is the work of Imam Samudra, the death-row convict of the Bali bombing. This book is a medium to share his heart and mind out, his belief, his argumentation and justification about his act which was considered radical. It contains Quran verses that are comprehended textually without using authoritative tafsîr reference to comprehensively understand those verses. Published by Jazeera in 2004, this book can still be found to date since two online shopping websites sell it. Some links also sell it through the internet and can be downloaded in PDF format after doing the transaction via credit card.

Quran verses which become the justification basis on the radical acts in _Aku Melawan Teroris_ are blown up again in Nasir Jamil’s book entitled _Membongkar Jamaah Islamiyyah: Pengakuan Mantan Anggota JI and_
was published by Grafindo in 2005. The latter contains the author's objection to Imam Samudra's argumentation (Jamıl 2005, 108-9), yet this relies only on his knowledge and not on authoritative *tafsīr* literatures.

Although both books have been published for several years and their doctrines, as well as argumentation, are considered out-of-date, they still affect the readers and new fans. This fact significantly has a negative impact. It is proven by the existence of links from Google about these books in the form of viewer and reader that add up more and more as time goes. Definitely, the links contain many different things such as discussion, research, curiosity, or even admiration and heroic obsession.

*Tafsīr* discussion in Indonesia has yet been about verses which are the basis of justification argumentation on radical acts i.e., Quran verses understood textually (Nizar 2015). Mainly, it is related to *tafsīr* model contained explicitly in *Aku Melawan Teroris*. However, generally, all *tafsīr* literatures from the classic to contemporary ones with various types and methodologies indeed contain *tafsīr* of those verses.

Fakhr al-Dīn al-Rāzī (d. 606 H) is an authoritative *muṭassâr* (author of *tafsīr*) proven by his well-known work *Mafātiḥ al-Ghayb* which is acknowledged and becomes the reference in Islam to date. Al-Dhahabī stated *Mafātiḥ al-Ghayb* is admitted by ulama (Islamic scholar) because it has a very distinctive character compared to other *tafsīr* literatures; its discussion is broader covered the various background of knowledge (al-Dhahabī n.d., 208; Mahmud 2006, 320). Besides, his work becomes an advanced study for the researchers of *tafsīr* literatures in the Islam world. There is a scientific work that specifically discussed the methodology of this *tafsīr* book and concluded that the perspective of this *tafsīr* is loaded with *kalām* aspect, linguistics, and formal legal/fiqh, philosophy, tasawuf (Sufism), and Aristotelian’s logic (Khalid 2018, 97–115).

Looking at the above argument, it is right and essential to say that every problem should be placed in its portion. In this case, we place the verses used for justification argumentation on radical acts into *tafsīr* portion and authoritative interpretation. All well-known *tafsīr* literatures in Islam with a brief and broad explanation are categorized authoritative. However, Fakhr al-Dīn al-Rāzī discusses Quran verses *tafsīr* in different forms i.e., multi-discipline and knowledge as well as using reason and range of interpretation highly acknowledged by his peers.
One urgent theme that has been discussed many times before, is about jihad, radicalism and *tafsîr* of *Mafâtîh al-Ghayb* is Islam dan Radikalisme: Telaah atas Ayat-Ayat Kekerasan dalam al Quran by Dede Rodin. The focus of this discussion is reviewing the history of *jihâd* (fight) and *qitâl* (war) verses to be comprehensively understood. The fundamental difference between jihad and radicalism is also the main point of this discussion (Rodin 2016). *Penafsiran Ayat-Ayat Pemicu Radikalisme Perspektif Ibn Taimiah dan Quraish Shihab* (Telaah Q.S At-Taubah (9): 5 dan 29) is also the related literature written by Siti Khairunnisa et. al. They reviewed Ibnu Taymiah and Quraish Shihab’s perspectives on Q.S. al-Tawbah [9]: 5 and 29 (Khairunnisa, Zain, and Muthi’ah 2016). Junaidi Abdillah discussed *Radikalisme Agama: Dekonstruksi Ayat-Ayat “Kekerasan” dalam al-Quran*. He focused on the use of religion behind radicalism and deconstruction of *tafsîr* verses, which are suspected of triggering radicalism (Abdillah 2014).

Furthermore, some previous studies related to Fakh al-Dîn al-Râzî or his *tafsîr* book are; first, *Konsep Pendidikan Sains Menurut al-Râzî* (Telaah terhadap Tafsîr Mafâtîh al-Ghayb) written by Muhammad Azhari. This study explored Islam science theory and its relevance to modern science. He also stated that science must incline to Allah Almighty’s oneness (Azhari 2013). Second, there was a study entitled *Ketenangan Jiwa Menurut Fakh al-Dîn al-Râzî dalam Tafsîr Mafâtîh al-Ghayb* as a response to WHO's data in 2002 that 150 million people suffered in depression. Al-Râzî claimed that the cause of depression is *khawf*, *khuzn*, *hulَ*, *hubb al-dunya*, *hasad*, *tafâkhur* and *takâthur* (Jalaluddin 2018). Another study entitled *Metodologi Tafsir Fakhru al-Din al-Razi, Telaah Tafsir QS Al-Fatihah dalam Mafatih al-Ghayb* written by Anas Shafwan Khalid which was intensely focused on the methodological study in this *tafsîr* book (Khalid 2018).

After looking through the aforementioned previous studies, the author concludes that this current study object has not been discussed before. There were already some studies about radicalism; Dede Rodin discussed Jihad and Radicalism, Siti Khoirunnisa talked about *tafsîr* verses triggering radicalism based on Ibnu Taymiah’s perspective, and Junaidi Abdillah discussed the deconstruction of violence-content verses in Quran. Those make verses on jihad justification in *Aku Melawan Teroris* never been an object of their studies; furthermore, Fakh al-Dîn al-Râzî’s *tafsîr bi al-na’yi* is taken into account. Previous studies on Fakh al-Dîn al-Râzî and his *tafsîr* book *Mafâtîh al-Ghayb* also focused on the thematic and methodological
discussion which are not related to the current study object at all i.e., verses on jihad justification.

Based on those reasons, this study is meant to fill the gap in the previous studies. Specifically, the main question is “How is al-Râzî’s perspective on verses that become the justification argumentation of radical acts which were faked as jihad?” This question is considered necessary because of an exaggerated implementation about jihad leading to radical act and affecting severely to the unity of the country and the religious life.

The current study uses descriptive explorative analysis. It is included in qualitative study, specifically in library research category since its primary data is the book Aku Melawan Teroris written by Imam Samudra and Mafâtîh al-Ghayb supported by other secondary written data. Moreover, this study is a significant attempt in holistically viewing the development of Quran study, precisely on jihad and the misused understanding toward God’s verses, mainly in Indonesian context.

Jihad and Radicalism: Definition and Implementation

Jihad in a simple definition as explained by al-Qurṭûbî is badhlu al-was’i (al-Qurṭûbî 1964, 50) i.e. mustering up all capabilities. According to Ibn Ḥajar, jihad is al-mashaqqah which means “difficulty” or “tiredness” (al-‘Asqalâni 1379 H, 3). Based on the opinion of both grand imâm (leader), jihad can be defined as “struggling by mustering up capability in confronting the condition and difficulty”. This concept is in line with the Prophet Muhammad PBUH’s explanation when someone asked permission to do jihad; the Prophets asked if his parents are still alive. The person answered yes. Then, the Prophet ordered him to ‘jihad’ to his parents (al-Bukhârî 1422 H, 59). In a different situation, the Prophet once said “Afâl al-jihâd hâj mabrûr” (al-Bukhârî 1422 H, 133). This narration can be analogized that hâj and jihad have a similar level of difficulty and intricacy in its implementation.

In another perspective, jihad is interpreted as “battling against enemy”. It also means to maximally try and muster up capability and energy in the form of statement, action, and strategy. Quran verses and hadith text support this perspective. Quran explains, “Wa in jâhadaka ‘alâ an tushrika bi mā laysa laka bihī ‘ilm falâ tuti’humâ” (Q.S. Luqmân [31]: 15), and “Wa alladhîna jâhadû finâ lanahdiyannahum subulana” (Q.S. al-‘Ankâbût [29]: 69).
The word “jihâd” in both verses is apprehended as “mustering up all capabilities and also energies”.

Whereas in hadith, the word is understood as al-qîtâl (war). It can be seen from companion’s question to the Prophet PBUH in a very long hadith, “Ma al-jihâd ? Qâla: An tuqâtîla al-kuffâra idhâ laqîtum” (al-Shaybânî n.d., 252). This hadith content asserted that jihad has a similar benefit and virtue with other kinds of worship e.g. salâh (prayer) and șawm (fasting); however, we should note that the word jihad in that hadith is the one with war and not the one with restraining lust.

When the word jihad is constructed with the two main words Jihad fi Sabîl Allâh interpreted as jihad in the path of Allah the Almighty, then the meaning drastically changes. In a more theoretical definition, Ibn Taymiah explains that, terminologically, Jihad fi Sabîl Allâh has a broader sense. It covers every power and effort to help the justification that has been regulated by Allah and to ignore falsehood by using the existing potential in the forms of action, statement, and heart, as well as wealth and soul (al-Ḥurânî 1987, 608). Maṣûr al-Bahûṭî adds that the existing potentials are used by doing the command to battle directly (al-Bahûṭî n.d., 36).

Further explanation on Jihad fi Sabîl Allâh, Ibn Taymiah states that one of implementations of jihad is by using hands such as hitting, stabbing, throwing, and preparing properly. Yet, there are times when it is only implemented orally, i.e. doing Islamic preaching in Allah the Almighty's path, repelling the attack toward the doubts of His sharia and conducting deliberation. Besides, jihad can be done by heart such as being consistent and always managing heart toward God. It can also be in the form of expectation and pray to mujâhidîn (fighters) that they will win against the enemies. Jihad is the activity that completes one another, yet doing jihad with hands is the highest level. Those three activities are categorized as jihad and the one implementing it is called mujâhid (al-Ḥurânî 1987, 608).

According to Seyyed Hossein Nasr, there are around 36 qîtâl and jihad verses in Quran; approximately 39 words of ja-ha-da are in different derivations. However, in quantity, no more than ten verses are related to battle (Nasr 2003, 313–4). Dede Rodin reveals that the rest referred to daily activities both physically and mentally and the earnest attempt to bring God's justice on earth, the noble moral values development and maintaining justice and peace as well as prosperity for all people (Rodin 2016). Quran verses containing the word jihad raise an understanding that
every jihad word in each verse has various contexts, yet still focusing on the purpose to realize peace, prosperity, justice, and the improvement of soul and physic quality.

**Radicalism: from Textual Understanding to Action**

Islamic fundamental teachings are *shahada*, *salâh* (worship), *zakâh* (alm), fasting, and *hâjj* (for those who can afford it). The Quran and sunnah of the Prophet Muhammad PBUH, which are the primary sources of Islamic life, have never actually expressed radicalism in any of forms and objects. However, in understanding some of the verses that are related to jihad and war in the Quran, people tend to only understand its textual meaning. It is done without any concern for the historical and sociological aspects as well as the implementation of Islamic life of the Prophet Muhammad. Perfecting the *akhlâq*/morals of humans across ethnicities, nations, faiths, and even groups have become the mission of the Prophet Muhammad. The verses mention it as a blessing for the universe.

Radical understanding (*al-mutaţarruf*) and radicalization (*al-ţaţarruf*) are terminologies that contain controversies, just like the term 'terrorist' (*al-irhâb*). Eastern and Western academicians have their definitions for globally radical movement according to their perspectives. However, both parties agreed on the objective of radical actions that lead to political violence, terror, and extremeness. The word "radical" in Indonesian has three meanings: first, thorough, totally, and change; second, strongly demanding a change; and third, advance in both thinking and action (Dinas Pendidikan 1990, 718). In a theoretical definition, Dhiyab and al-Hasan emphasize that radical is “a process of adopting extreme system and value as changes, acceptance, help or abuse, and a sugar-coated promise occurred as the ways to put the changes into reality, within the society, or encourage individuals or groups to do the same” (Dhiyab and al-Hasan 2017, 3–6).

Understanding the aforementioned theoretical definition of radicalism, it is genuinely a connected and organized activity that believes in the use of powers and weapons to interact. Believes in jihad become the only way to be progressive and to fight over something, concentrates on religious, political, and social issues and excommunicates those who have different understanding or belief. These ways that are implemented radically have then been known as radicalism. Its main characteristics are 'a claim of a single truth', misdirection of any community that is not on par, a
Islamic intellectuals, such as Fakhr al-Dîn al-Râzî, have contributed to shaping the understanding of Islamic teachings. He is known for his expertise in various fields, including Islamic law (fiqh), theology (aqeedah), literature (literati), and travel. His lineage can be traced back to prominent figures in Islamic history, such as the Quraysh tribe, indicating his noble heritage.

In terms of radical actions, these are often depicted as violent acts committed by some Islamic groups while implementing Islamic teachings (Umam 2019). These actions are motivated by a negative terminology known as Radical Islam. Khamami Zada elaborates on this concept by referencing Horace M. Kallen's theory, which describes Radical Islam as a comprehensive fight for Islam, demanding Islamic sharia to be the foundation of the state and Islam as the political system.

Additionally, Zada explains that the older generation (salaf) has become exemplary Muslims in religious activities, who challenged Western modernization and secularization. They fought against any movement assumed to be part of liberalization against Islam (Zada 2011, 16).

**Intellectuality of Fakhr al-Dîn al-Râzî**

Fakhr al-Dîn al-Râzî, a Muslim scholar, al-Haqqim (wise), al-Adîb (literati), al-Mutakallim (an expert in theology and philosophy) and a traveler, is considered an exemplary Muslim in religious activities. His lineage includes notable figures such as the Quraysh tribe, indicating his noble heritage.

The complete name and lineage of this great Imam (Islamic leadership position) is Muḥammad ibn `Umar ibn al-Ḥasan ibn al-Ḥusayn ibn `Alî al-Taymi al-Bakrî al-Ṭabarstâni (Ibn Khallikân 1904, 249). Yâqût al-Ḥamawî (d. 626 H) noted that he is also an al-Quraysh (al-Ḥamawi 1414 H, 2585) that illustrates one of his lineage that is Quraysh, the most prominent tribe in pre and post Islam occurrence in Mecca. Ādil Nuwayhaḏ elucidates the detail of Quraysh nasab that he is the descendant of Abû Bakr al-Shiddîq (Nuwayhaḏ 1409 H, 596).

Fakhr al-Dîn al-Râzî is also a noble scholar who is honored, a dignified person, and a polite person who keeps his self-esteem. He has a perfect physical feature that is a proportional body based on humans’
average standards at that time (Bamakhramah 1428 H, 25). Yâqût al-Hamawî mentions that the numbers of people who accompanied him on a trip could reach more than three hundred people. Those are his students who are fiqhāh (one who has fulfilled the conditions for ijtihād), mutakallim (scholastic theologians of Islam), uṣûli, mufassir (a person who wishes to interpret the Quran), those who work in medical field and others (al-Hamawî 1414 H, 2585). The Islamic world appreciated his teachings that reached out to all groups or communities at that time. It is not surprising if all kinds of community and levels of society across madhhabs/schools of thought attended his academy of science to have discussions on various things (al-Hamawî 1414 H, 2585). Taj al-Din al-Subkî (d. 771 H) praised him by addressing him as imâm al-mutakallimîn (the leader of science of discourse) and acknowledged his accurate elaboration skills in science and society (al-Subkî 1413 H, 81). Al-Zirikli (d. 1396 H) called him imâm mufassir (the leader of interpreter). He was the only ulama who has an ability in contextual and textual understanding in his era (al-Zirikli 2002, 313).

Fakhr al-Din al-Râzî is also well-known as a scientist and intellectual in various fields of study. It is reflected from the masterpieces spread to all Islamic countries, and the society has a massive enthusiasm in responding to this. His documented masterpiece such as al-Tafsîr al-Kabîr, or is known as Mafâtîh al-Ghayb in tafsîr study (interpretation) consists of twenty-five chapters and topics solely about al-Fâtihâh; it could reach one significant chapter (Ibn Khallikân 1904, 249).

**Legal Standing Verses Claiming the Truth and Radical Argumentation**

Some individuals have radical patterns of thinking and reinforcement by using the verses of Quran in the movement that is striven for argumentation or justification towards legal actions (in their point of view) that have been performed. Some of the Quran verses, which are the cause of the argumentation of the truth, are found in form of written verses as conveyed in the reinforcement and routine Quran recitation in groups. Some are found in form of written documentation that is book.

*Aku Melawan Teroris* is the book that documented the verses of Quran as the argument of justification towards Imam Samudra’s action. He was a convict of the bomb incident in Bali who was guilty as charged and was executed in 2014 (Royds, Lewis, and Taylor 2005). The national medias
have fully covered the news, and it can still be accessed in the internet today. Various memes with positive and negative tone are spread in social media and can still be accessed on the internet. It can't be denied that Indonesian Muslim community have seen this case differently, either as a matter of heroism or radicalism.

The verses of the Quran used as the proposition of justification towards their actions, as written in the book, are the effects of textual understanding without the efforts to employ the authoritative literatures of Quran interpretation/tafsîr as the medium to understand the verses comprehensively. If it was appropriately used, the more in-depth understanding and more tolerant action will come to the surface. The verses used by Imam Samudra as both argumentation and justification of truth toward his actions (Samudra 2004, 107–16) are: Q.S. al-Tawbah [9]: 5 that means: “... then kill the Mushrikûn wherever you find them...”; Q.S. al-Tawbah [9]: 14 that says: “Fight against them so that Allah will punish them by your hands”; Q.S. al-Tawbah [9]: 36 that states: “... And fight against the Mushrikûn collectively as they fight against you collectively. But know that Allah is with those who are al-Muttaqûn”; Q.S. al-Anfâl [8]: 39, which means: “And fight them until there is no more Fitnah (disbelief and polytheism) and the religion will all be for Allâh Alone.”; and Q.S. al-Baqarah [2]: 191 that states “And kill them wherever you find them”.

The Verses of Radicalism Justification: Fakhr al-Dîn al-Râzî’s Tafsîr bi al-Ra’yî Perspective

Understanding the verses of Quran completely and comprehensively is the main objective of a field of study known as Tafsîr. It should be supported by the related sciences that have been formulated. The mastery of the variety of science is a requirement for a mufassîr. However, those who are interested in tafsîr sometimes elaborate the understanding of the verses of the Quran based on the real masterpieces. The mufassîrs’ great classic and contemporary masterpieces have their distinct characteristics, which are well-known as a name of methodology, and tafsîr bi al-ra’yî is one of those methodologies. The verses of the Quran that are used as the justification for radical actions ought to refer to the authoritative literatures regarding tafsîr to produce a more relevant point of view. Mafâtîh al-Ghayb is one of the literatures about tafsîr that is acknowledged by the world of Islam or Islamic
countries as an extraordinarily authoritative one and uses tafsîr bi al-ra’yi methodology.

Bi al-ra’yi tafsîr consists of two words: tafsîr and al-ra’yi that have different meanings. In a simple concept, al-tafsîr is derived from the phrase fassara-yufassiru-tafsîran, but it has numerous meanings; those are al-ta’wil, al-kashf, al-sharḥ, al-bayān and al-īdāh. These various meanings can be understood in various words too, namely interpreting, revealing, explicating or explaining (Hamdan and Miski 2019, 251).

According to al-Zarqânî (d. 1367 H), the word al-ra’yi is another meaning of al-ijtihâd (al-Zarqânî n.d., 49) that is simply understood as “opinion”. Bi al-ra’yi tafsîr is simply defined as “the interpreter’s belief when explaining the verses of the Quran based on his/her understanding and opinion, specifically and independently” (al-Qaṭṭân 2000, 362). In line with the above-mentioned explication, it can be understood that tafsîr bi al-ra’yi is based on the interpreter’s personal opinion. The thing to be highlighted is the matter that the personal opinion should be based on a mufassir’s mastery of knowledge, abide the Islamic sharia, and avoid any evil and deviated interpretation (al-Zarqânî n.d., 49).

The debate about tafsîr bi al-ra’yi among ulama (Islamic scholars) addresses two important things, whether or not it is allowed to use bi al-ra’yi tafsîr in interpreting Quran. This debate is then narrowed down to the majority deal that is the approval to use tafsîr bi al-ra’yi for interpreting the Quran with tight interpretation requirements (al-Ṣâliḥy 2000, 292-3). This agreement is supported by concrete proofs such as familiar references in every era that are used by Muslim community like Mafâtîh al-Ghayb written by al-Râzî (d. 606 H) as the object of this writing, Anwâr al-Tanzîl wa Asrâr al-Ta’wil written by al-Bayḍawî (d. 685 H), Madârik al-Tanzîl wa Ḥaqâiq al-Ta’wil written by al-Nasâfî (d. 710 H), Lubâb al-Ta’wil fi Ma’ānî al-Tanzîl written by al-Khâzin (d. 741 H) and Irshâd al-‘Aql al-Salîm written by Abû al-Su’ûd (d. 982 H).

Fakhr al-Dîn al-Râzî has interpreted the verses of the Quran based on his ijtihâd (independent reasoning) as mentioned in his book entitled Mafâtîh al-Ghayb. The following is explication on his opinion and ijtihâd in interpreting the verses of the Quran concerning the verses that justify radical actions by using jihad as an excuse. The first was the words of Allah Almighty as found in surah al-Tawbah [9]: 5 “faqtulû al-mushrikîna ḥaythu wajadtumûhum”. In explaining about the commands to fight against the
kāfir/disbelievers, al-Rāzī has previously mentioned the requirements as stated by the verse regarding the right time to execute a war. Al-Rāzī quoted al-Laith’s opinion that says “salakhtu al-shahra idhâ kharajtu minhu” interpreted as “I pass through the moon if I go out from it”. Thus, according to al-Rāzī, the basic meaning of “assulkhu” is "a term for the separation of something from its certain place”. It can even be called as "the term for the separation of something from its certain time" caused by the strong correlation between the place and time (al-Rāzī 1420 H, vol. 15, 528). The forbidden months that are mentioned in “al-ashhur al-ḥurum” is started from Nahār day in Dhulhijjah month until the tenth day in Rabi’ al-Awal month by referring to the earlier verse that is “fasihû fi al-ard arba’ata ashhur” (Q.S. al-Tawbah [9]: 2). After passing the months mentioned above, Allah allows four things, which are killing (fighting), arresting (capturing), embargoing, and spying.

Killing and fighting are two of the forbidden activities in the forbidden months mentioned above. This forbidden activity is categorized as haram to do and in other words it is reward to leave it and sinned to do it. However, after passing the forbidden months in carrying out these forbidden activities, it is possible to commit war activities or kill the enemy. In this case, al-Rāzī quotes the word of Allah “waqtulûhum ḥaythu wajadtumûhum”, a command to absolutely fight or kill the enemy, whenever and wherever they are. Making the enemies of Allah as prisoners of war is the second choice if the enemy faced has been surrendered. Surrender means to put them as prisoners of war and then prisoners of war have their own rules of law and treatment. The third option given by the verse is an embargo. “Ḥişâr” in Indonesian is an embargo that is "prohibiting to go out of certain limits”. “Wahṣurhum” in this verse is the third choice made against the enemy to immediately force them to end the war. The fourth choice is to spy on the polytheists from the reconnaissance sites. “al-Marsad” is interpreted as “a place used to spy and monitor the enemy’s movements”.

The main target in the realization of four options and strategies above is essentially to repent as conveyed by the verse with His narration “fain tābû wa aqâmû al-ṣalâta wa âtû al-zakâta fakhallû sabilahum”. If the polytheists of Mecca repent by carrying out Allah’s commands, which are praying and alms, then the four options will automatically be excluded. The exclusion of these four options is a concrete proof that Allah SWT, The One Almighty God who is Forgiveing and very Merciful to His servants.
The second verse used as a basis for jihad is Q.S. al-Tawbah [9]: 14 “qâtilûhum yu’adhâhûhum Allâh biaydîkum”. Al-Râzî said that in this verse Allah again repeats “the command to fight” (al-Râzî 1420 H, vol. 16, 5) while mentioning the five advantages and benefits of carrying it out. Allah uses the term “punishment” in this verse which can be understood as “torture” to imply the real reality that “punishment” will be given to unbelievers; through His will, it can happen in the world, and it can also be reversed in the hereafter.

The punishment referred to in this verse could be three possibilities: be killed, be captured or lose a property. Moreover, this punishment can be accepted in two or three kinds at one time. Al-Râzî also conveyed the reality of belief in looking at the sentence yu’adhâhûhim Allâh biaydî al-mukminîn that "if it is possible to say the unbelievers are punished through the hands of believers, then it may also say otherwise that the believers are punished through the hands of unbelievers. It may also be analogous by saying that the Prophet was verbally denied by unbelievers, while believers were cursed verbally by unbelievers". It is due to the fact and reality of nature that Allah created the actions of a servant. When it is not allowed to say and believe as narrated above, according to al-Râzî, it is understood that Allah did not create all the actions of His creatures, but still rely on Him as a way to expand. It is because they accept all His commands and tenderness, as well as the actions in the form of all obedience to Him (al-Râzî 1420 H, vol. 16, 6–8).

The third verse as the basis of the truth argument for radical actions under the pretext of jihad is Q.S. al-Tawbah [9]: 36, “waqâtilû al-mushrikîn kâffatân kamâ yuqâtilûnakum kâffatân”. Al-Râzî explains his opinion in interpreting the command of war in this verse which contained in the text waqâtilû al-mushrikîn kâffatân translated with “and fights the polytheists as a whole”. Al-Râzî does not discuss the phrase al-mushrikîn in this verse, because the phrase al-mushrikîn is is already understood in such a way that they are residents of Mecca in the era of the Prophet who are believed as idol worshipers that we know today with the term "animism". Al-Râzî seems to focus on the phrase “kâffah” by saying his tendency to the opinion that the meaning of “kâffah” is "to fight them as a whole as they also fight the Muslims as a whole". Allah commands to fight the enemy as a whole by helping each other, hand in hand and cooperation. Allah does not allow fighting the polytheists in the opposite way that is to let each other, break
away and be selfish by prioritizing each other's personal safety. Al-Râzî also asserted that the narration of the verse with the word “kâffah” is a sign that it is possible to fight these polytheists throughout the entire month without looking at the term of it is haram month or not (al-Râzî 1420 H, vol. 16, 44).

The fourth verse is Q.S. al-Anfâl [8]: 39, “waqâtilûhum ḥattâ là takûna fitnah wayakûna al-dînu kulluhû lillâh”. The unbelievers, according to al-Râzî, if they come out from their disbelief, then they will obtain forgiveness from Allah, The God Almighty. Still, if they return to their disbelief, then they will be fought. “al-Fitnah” in this verse refers to the psychological and sociological condition of the Prophet's companions who just converted to Islam. The Prophet's companions at that time believed and held firm this religion. Their companions were very much taking care of the brotherhood more than in their pre-Islamic era until the Prophet commanded some companions to emigrate to Habsyah.

There is a second opinion which explains that when some Ansar people did bay'at/state their trust towards the Prophet in Bayt ‘Aqabah, the Quraysh in Mecca tried to estrange them from this religion. They did it in various ways such as intimidation, provocation and even physical actions until the companions experienced a terrible trouble at the time. Allah then commanded to fight against the Quraysh, this polytheistic community to eliminate the intended defamation (al-Râzî 1420 H, vol. 15, 483). Al-Râzî expresses his opinion on this defamation by saying that the quality and quantity of companions in love for Islam is more reliable than the love of their own lives. While on the other hand, the unbelievers work hard at hurting believers and instilling doubt and confronting them with trouble and hardship. In the event of a war, which ended with victory in the ranks of the Muslims, the defamation will be vanished entirely from Islam itself (al-Râzî 1420 H, vol. 15, 483).

The fifth verse of Q.S. al-Baqarah [2]: 191, “waqtulûhum ḥaythu thaqīftumûhum wa’akhirjûhum min ḥaythu akhrajûkum wa al-fitnatu ashaddû min al-qatl”. Al-Râzî says that this verse is specifically meant for the Prophet Muhammad and his companions. They participated in the pilgrimage, while it is generally addressed to every Muslim whenever and wherever they are. Two commandments were given to the Prophet Muhammad as the leader and the Muslim (the companions) at that time, who was fighting against the unbelievers of Mecca and was also expelling them from their
homes and the city of Mecca in general. Therefore, the history records how the Prophet Muhammad emptied the forbidden land of Mecca from the polytheists, as well as the banned area of Medina.

The community of the people commanded to be fought in this verse were the unbelievers who lived in the city of Mecca. They were also called the Quraysh polytheists community. This verse commands to fight them in the permitted or forbidden situation or the forbidden months. The offensive action was carried out on the strict condition that must be preceded by the war committed by Mecca's polytheists against the Prophet Muhammad and his followers from the companions (al-Râzî 1420 H, vol. 15, 483). The second action was the expulsion committed by the unbelievers to the Muslims (the companions) which the Quran discusses with the narration of "wa ’akhrîjûhum min ḥaythu akhrajûkum. al-’Ikhrâj" in this verse contains two insights: first, forcing them (the companions) to come out compulsively; and second, commit intimidation and terror that endanger to choose the option to abstain (al-Râzî 1420 H, vol. 15, 290).

The word ḥaythu in this verse contains two meanings that are the turning point of the expulsion, which is the city of Mecca and also their (the companion’s) residences. The city of Mecca once became the center of activity of the cross-tribe Arabian. There were houses inhabited by the entire citizen, some of whom had converted to Islam and some were still unbelievers (polytheists). The defamation according to al-Râzî is the name of an activity that causes a test (al-Râzî 1420 H, vol. 15, 290). The first action committed by the unbelievers was the intimidation of terror toward the companions and other radical activities which led to a group of the companions evacuated and left their families and homeland to a safe place. The reality was to avoid the disbelief of the unbelievers and sincerely let themselves off of the fact that they are afraid and worried about. The companions' defensive actions in this escape were recognized as extraordinary defamation beyond the act of assassination. Al-Râzî made an analogous of the defamation mentioned in this verse with a contemptible punishment for disbelief. In his opinion, creating an analogous of the defamation with the punishment is allowed.

It seems that the interpretation of "bi al-ra’yi" by Fakhr al-Dîn al-Râzî in elaborating the Quranic verses used as a basis for radical action in the face of the jihad. Fakhr al-Dîn al-Râzî's view through his book of interpretation Mafâṭîh al-Ghayb can be used as a reference and basis solution to the complex and multidisciplinary problems of the Quranic
interpretation. Furthermore, the *tafsîr bi al-ra’î*y as a methodology can be used as a solution and alternative to the need for verse interpretation of the Quran amidst the various problems of people.

**Conclusion**

There are five verses of the Quran used as arguments for justification for radical actions with the message of jihad that is Q.S. al-Tawbah [9]: 5 which means: “...kill the polytheists wherever you find them...”. Q.S. al-Tawbah [9]: 14 says: “fight them; Allah will punish them by your hands”. Q.S. al-Tawbah [9]: 36 which means: “and fight against the unbelievers collectively as they fight against you collectively. And know that Allah is with the righteous [who fear Him]”. Q.S. al-Anfâl [8]: 39 which means: “And fight them until there is no defamation and [until] the religion, all of it, is for Allah”. Q.S. al-Baqarah [2]: 191 means: “and kill them wherever you overtake them”. These verses are understood textually, through translation and not by authoritative literary interpretations such as the one written by al-Râzî in his *Mafâtîh al-Ghayb*.

It seems that Imam Samudra took only a few sentences of the translation, which led to a bombastic understanding of the Q.S. al-Tawbah [9]: 5. Al-Râzî interpreted this verse by first clarifying the conditions of the ability to raise a weapon, in the sense of war or killing, and then to bring about a similar action, but still offers the option of forgiveness if the enemy has repented. In Q.S. al-Tawbah [9]: 14, Imam Samudra also just cut out a few sentences altogether. Al-Râzî looks at the verse intimately and further argues that killing in the form of punishment in three possibilities, which are executed, be captured or lose the property and all three of them at once. This term of punishment, according to al-Râzî, can also be obtained by the unbelievers through the hands of the believers and vice versa.

While the Q.S. al-Tawbah [9]: 36 is interpreted by al-Râzî by highlighting the word *kâffah*, which is to fight the whole way through mutual support, to help one another and to help each other and not to let each other. The object of the battle was the polytheists of Mecca. A few sentences in Q.S. Anfâl [8]: 39 are also the arguments of Imam Samudra. Al-Râzî looks at this verse by explaining that the war was carried out to avoid defamation in the form of intimidation, provocation or open war committed by the polytheists of Mecca against the Prophet and his companions. Al-Râzî also looked at Q.S. al-Baqarah [2]: 191 as a form of command to act under the means of combating and expelling. The eviction
was carried out from their homes to all over the city. This offensive action is compatible to the previous action.
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