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#### Abstract

This study compares the vocabulary used by Indonesian fourthgrade students in their free writing with that taught in their English language textbooks to assist language teachers in developing relevant learning materials for young learners. To achieve this, the researcher employed the corpus linguistic method and analyzed the writings of 369 students from 19 public elementary schools in Malang, East Java, Indonesia, along with five selected English textbooks. The University of Adelaide Text Analysis Tool program, AdTAT, was used to analyze the corpus. The program generated word lists consisting of the top 100 words most frequently used by students and the top 100 words used in English textbooks. The findings of the study revealed a $45 \%$ match between the top 100 words most frequently used by students and the top 100 words used in English textbooks. This suggests that there is a significant overlap between the vocabulary used in free writing and that taught in textbooks. The implication of this study is that language teachers need to recognize the basic words students acquire before learning new vocabulary to achieve successful learning of the target language. The study suggests that language teachers should incorporate more culturally relevant vocabulary into their teaching materials to better engage and motivate their students. The findings of this study can also assist language curriculum developers in designing more effective and culturally relevant teaching materials for young learners.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

The acquisition of vocabulary is a significant part of language learning and teaching, particularly for English as a Foreign Language (EFL) students who have multilingual backgrounds (Goundar, 2019; Sutrisna, 2021; Talbi Hassani, 2021). One of many challenges in teaching English to multilingual EFL students lies the in the student's mastery of English vocabulary, where word meanings may not align with the contexts of the EFL learners' vocabulary learned earlier in other languages. Students need to have high absorption power to memorize the vocabulary. The more often it is applied, it will have a good impact on students including vocabulary, speaking and writing skills(Zamzami et al., 2023)

Furthermore, teaching and learning English as a foreign language for students who have a multilingual background should take this fact into account in addressing students' needs. Satullaeva \& Kurbanbaeva (2020) stated that to effectively teach English as a second language, it is necessary to conduct a comparative analysis that allows students to recognize similarities and differences between the three languages used in the educational context. Learning multiple foreign languages should not be approached as separate endeavors but rather as an interconnected and interdependent study that involves comparing languages and building upon the linguistic knowledge and skills of the students.

Many non-native English teachers use language learning source materials that they consider appropriate for non-English background learning. The use of the mother tongue (L1) is then used to assist communication between teachers and students. This may have negative results, leading to inappropriate learning sources and meanings that are not relevant to the context (Hawanti, 2014). This commonly happens to children who are beginning to learn English. Furthermore, Chai et al., (2021) added in their study that it is crucial to have efficient methods and engaging vocabulary resources for young ESL learners in primary school.

Therefore, it's essential to identify appropriate techniques that meet their learning needs to achieve the satisfactory teaching of English vocabulary, for use by non-native speaking teachers of English. A study conducted by Dolmacı and Ertaf (2016) investigated that new Turkish language learners should learn academic vocabulary before they study at the undergraduate level. The Academic Turkish Word-list included $32 \%$ of the academic corpus and learning that word-list would help the students who want to learn Turkish to achieve better academic language skills, particularly reading comprehension. This approach also applies to EFL students, particularly to young learners who start to learn English as an additional language. Different strategies such as paying attention to word lists or completing various vocabulary tasks could be adopted by EFL students to learn vocabulary (Huong, 2018).

In Indonesia, for some students who are learning English as a foreign language, the use of the mother tongue exclusively becomes a barrier. The different backgrounds and cultural contexts between the two languages could become a major distraction for students when learning another language. An alternative method has been noted in studies that have been conducted using corpus approaches dealing with word frequency, and that have produced word lists for integrating into young learners' vocabulary. Firstly, Dang (2019) explores the current research on corpus-based word-lists for English language learners, including different types of word-lists, important issues such as a unit of counting and corpus construction, and how these word-lists can be applied in language learning and teaching. The research identifies aspects of wordlist study that demand more exploration, particularly mid-frequency, and subject-specific vocabulary. It also underlines the necessity of combining objective and subjective criteria during the construction of word-lists.

Secondly, a corpus-based study was conducted by Tiliakou \& Frantz (2021) who analyzed writing samples from 12 and 13-year-old Greek learners of English. Their objective was to evaluate the level and quantity of vocabulary used in the writing samples and to determine whether it corresponds to the expected proficiency level based on the Common European Framework levels A1-A2. The corpus was analyzed using keyword analysis and the English Vocabulary Profile Online tool. The results show that most of the content words used belonged to the A1 level, while a smaller amount was of the A2 level and a few words to B1 and B2 levels. The study found that higher-level words were correlated with higher graded writings and higher proficiency of the learners.

Thirdly, a corpus study conducted by Berkling (2016) describes the H1 Corpus, which is a collection of weekly writing samples from 2 nd and 3 rd graders aged 7-11, collected over 3 months in a classroom setting by the teacher. The corpus contains written transcriptions of texts that include both correct spelling and spelling errors, as well as scanned-in versions. It's accessible for research purposes through the Linguistic Data Consortium, and scholars are encouraged to add more annotations and enhancements to the corpus before sharing it with the public domain via LDC.

Furthermore, some experts have suggested solutions for how to teach English vocabulary as influenced by the first language (L1) or the second language (L2). One of the practical ways to achieve this is through word listing, produced through a corpus (Dang, 2019). Overall, the aims are to prepare vocabulary for the materials as suitable for the students' levels and not too difficult to use in classrooms.

However, from these findings, when focusing on early childhood learning, the target levels of the students may be different. Therefore, in assessing the research gaps in this area, this study has created a corpus of the most frequent words used in Indonesian fourth-grade students' free writing, when analyzed and compared to the vocabulary taught in English language textbooks. Grade 4 is an appropriate time for English vocabulary learning in Indonesia, in line with the national curriculum standards for English language education (P et al., 2018). At this stage, students have a basic understanding of English phonetics, vocabulary, and grammar elements. According to Rahmat \& Mohandas (2020), vocabulary acquisition among young learners is critical for language learning and academic success, and early exposure to English vocabulary improves their proficiency in the language. Many language education experts also recommend prioritizing vocabulary acquisition in the early stages of language learning. The inclusion of English language education in the national curriculum for primary schools in Indonesia aims to equip students with the necessary language skills to communicate effectively in a globalized world (Ministry of Education and Culture of the Republic of Indonesia, 2013). As grade 4 students are in a crucial stage of language development, they require adequate support and resources to learn English vocabulary effectively. This study creates a corpus of the most frequent words used by grade 4 students in their free writing and compares it with the vocabulary taught in English language textbooks. The study aims to provide valuable insights into the vocabulary acquisition process among young learners, assisting language teachers in developing more effective and culturally relevant learning materials.

## 2. METHOD

This study employed corpus in linguistics as the methodology to gather the data and the analyses. According to Waskita (2017), corpus linguistics is a method to investigate any kind of questions dealing with linguistic problems which could provide new insight into language use. Cushing's (2017) work discusses the main objective of corpus linguistic research, which is the exploration of language usage, as well as the sharing of research findings that pertain to the cumulative effects of natural language and the examination of frequency and distributional information.

This study adopted mixed quantitative and qualitative methods. Mixed methods research represents that there are several approaches to combining qualitative and quantitative research methods. These include using qualitative methods to identify constructs or hypotheses that can be studied in quantitative research, using quantitative studies to uncover patterns that can be further investigated in qualitative research, and converting qualitative data into quantitative data through quantification (Kaplan, 2014). Quantitative data will be collected from the list of most frequent words used by Indonesian students and then analyzed using an application, AdTAT, the Adelaide Text Analysis Tool, a concordance tool provided by the University of Adelaide. The most frequent words used were categorized into a top 100 words list. The word lists are presented in tables which are completed with the numbers of frequency and the meaning. For the qualitative data, the study presented an analysis of the quantitative
data from the most frequent words used in the students' writing and for comparison, the English textbooks.

Moreover, the sampling method used in this study is cluster sampling. Malang Region, East Java, Indonesia, was selected as the study area due to its diverse socio-economic backgrounds and which are known for its diverse student populations and adherence to the national English language curriculum standards. From the list of public elementary schools in the Malang Region, 19 schools were randomly selected and invited to participate in the study. Once the schools agreed to participate, the researchers obtained written consent from the school administrators. Then, a school code was assigned to each participating school, and the students were instructed to write a short composition in English about a given topic. The samples of students' writing were collected by the researchers, who then recorded the datasets using the school codes to maintain anonymity and confidentiality.

Furthermore, the sample size of 369 students was determined based on the power analysis calculation, which took into consideration the total number of students in the participating schools. The students' age range was between 9 to 10 years old, which corresponds to the fourth year of elementary school in Indonesia. Of these students, 165 were boys and 214 were girls. The data collected from the writing samples were then analyzed and compared to the vocabulary taught in English language textbooks. To collect the data, the researchers provided each student with a writing prompt and asked them to write freely for 20-30 minutes. As it was free writing, students were free to decide the topic on which they wanted to write. However, in some schools, the teachers assisted the students to create writing dealing with their real-life contexts, their holidays, and stories about their past experiences, their hobbies, or their future ideas.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

These findings are then divided into points relating to the word frequency from the Indonesian students' writing and the English textbooks used in the schools andthe analysis of the vocabulary in terms of content and cultural views used in the textbooks when compared to the students' writing.

### 3.1 Results

The first research question asked about the most frequent Indonesian words used by Indonesian year 4 students in writing and the most frequent words taught in their English textbooks. As 19 schools participated in this study which involved 369 students' writing as the samples for the corpus, it collected 33916 words. From the five selected English textbooks used as the sample for the analysis in this study, the researcher gained 8270 words, with the vocabulary collected from the main sections: introduction, dialogues, reading, and the vocabulary list. Thus, the findings produced the top 100 most frequent words used by the students in writing Indonesian texts and the top 100 most frequently used vocabulary taught from the English language textbooks.

### 3.1.1 Top 100 Most Frequent Words from the Indonesian Students' Writing and the English Textbooks

After using AdTAT software to collect words frequency lists from the samples of the student's writing and the English textbooks, below are the top 100 words used most frequently in students' writing (See Table 1)

Table 1. Top 100 words frequency from students' writing

| No | Words |  | Frequencies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Indonesian | English |  |
| 1 | saya | I | 1857 |
| 2 | dan | and | 1531 |
| 3 | aku | I | 1241 |
| 4 | di | at/in/on | 1031 |
| 5 | ke | to | 799 |
| 6 | sana | there | 562 |
| 7 | yang | that | 487 |
| 8 | lalu | then | 425 |
| 9 | itu | that/those | 360 |
| 10 | bermain | play | 315 |
| 11 | dengan | with | 313 |
| 12 | cita-cita | idea | 307 |
| 13 | rumah | house | 306 |
| 14 | setelah | after | 285 |
| 15 | teman | friend | 281 |
| 16 | sangat | very | 276 |
| 17 | bersama | together with | 272 |
| 18 | keluarga | family | 261 |
| 19 | pulang | go home | 252 |
| 20 | ada | there is/are | 249 |
| 21 | sekali | very/once | 236 |
| 22 | liburan | holiday | 231 |
| 23 | hari | day | 228 |
| 24 | makan | eat | 228 |
| 25 | pergi | go | 216 |
| 26 | pantai | beach | 206 |
| 27 | senang | happy | 193 |
| 28 | melihat | see | 191 |
| 29 | untuk | for/to | 187 |
| 30 | orang | people | 184 |
| 31 | ayah | father | 182 |
| 32 | ingin | want | 180 |
| 33 | kami | we/us/our | 178 |
| 34 | juga | also | 171 |
| 35 | menjadi | be | 161 |
| 36 | Ibu | mother | 152 |
| 37 | pada | at/to also | 147 |
| 38 | nenek | grandmother | 146 |
| 39 | berenang | swim | 144 |
| 40 | karena | because | 141 |
| 41 | bisa | can | 141 |
| 42 | sudah | already | 140 |
| 43 | sekolah | school | 138 |
| 44 | sampai | arrive/till | 132 |
| 45 | saat | when | 131 |
| 46 | tidak | no/not | 129 |
| 47 | sama | same | 129 |
| 48 | ku | my | 124 |
| 49 | jam | hour/clock | 122 |
| 50 | kakak | big brother/ sister | 122 |


| No | Words |  | Frequencies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Indonesia | English |  |
| 51 | banyak | many/much | 114 |
| 52 | berlibur | go holiday | 111 |
| 53 | anak | children | 107 |
| 54 | naik | ride | 104 |
| 55 | adik | little brother/ sister | 104 |
| 56 | alun-alun | town square | 100 |
| 57 | layang | kite | 99 |
| 58 | diajak | asked | 97 |
| 59 | indah | beautiful | 92 |
| 60 | mandi | take a bath | 91 |
| 61 | jalan | road | 90 |
| 62 | dari | from | 90 |
| 63 | terus | then/next | 85 |
| 64 | langsung | straight | 82 |
| 65 | baju | clothes | 80 |
| 66 | pun | even | 78 |
| 67 | kakek | grand- father | 78 |
| 68 | ikan | fish | 77 |
| 69 | kita | we/us/our | 77 |
| 70 | bola | ball | 75 |
| 71 | membeli | buy | 75 |
| 72 | besar | big | 75 |
| 73 | lagi | again | 72 |
| 74 | dokter | doctor | 70 |
| 75 | perjalanan | trip | 69 |
| 76 | tidur | sleep | 68 |
| 77 | harus | must | 68 |
| 78 | tapi | but | 63 |
| 79 | mobil | car | 62 |
| 80 | beli | buy | 62 |
| 81 | tiba | arrive | 62 |
| 82 | waktu | time | 61 |
| 83 | nama | name | 60 |
| 84 | akan | will | 60 |
| 85 | belajar | study | 59 |
| 86 | semua | all | 59 |
| 87 | Malang | Malang | 59 |
| 88 | sakit | sick | 58 |
| 89 | dia | she/he | 58 |
| 90 | habis | finished | 57 |
| 91 | oleh | by | 57 |
| 92 | minggu | Sunday | 56 |
| 93 | foto | photo | 56 |
| 94 | kalau | if | 55 |
| 95 | pemandangan | view/ scenery | 54 |
| 96 | saudara | siblings | 53 |
| 97 | kemudian | then/next/ | 53 |
| 98 | berangkat | depart/ leave | 53 |
| 99 | guru | teacher | 53 |
| 100 | lain | other | 53 |

From the results of the top 100 words frequency from the students' writing, most of the students expressed their writing using the word $I$ which became the top 1 and 3 saya and aku
respectively. The words saya and $a k u$ in Indonesia have the same meaning as $I$ in English. These two words are used widely by Indonesian in daily conversation. However, these two words have different uses. The word saya is normally used in formal ways such as in lecturing, seminars, speech, or in other formal spoken and written contexts. The word $a k u$ is less formal compared to the word saya. It is then followed using the preposition in/at/on and some adverbs, which precede the use of verbs and nouns.

The first verb in the top 10 is the word play. The students use the word play hand in hand with the word swith in the following list. It could be related to the word friend in the top 15 words in the list. Thus, most students said that they prefer to use play with a friend in their writing. Moreover, it could be linked to the word family in the top 18, as it can be assumed that the students like to play with family. This is followed by the word holiday in the top 22. Therefore, most of the students like to play with their friends and family during the holidays. About the word holiday, the word beach followed in the list of the top 25 of the most frequent words used. It also indicated that most of the students like having a holiday, particularly at the beach, with swim in the top 39 , which can indicate that they were at the beach where they play and swim. This could be related to the events that happened when the data was gathered. It was when students had long holidays during the school break, so the findings showed some words related to a holiday.

The students also use the word eat which appears 228 times. However, there is no word drink in the top 100 most frequent words where the word eat is correlated to drink. Students used verbs such as go, see, and ride in the top 55 words. The other verbs in the top 100 words used frequently are take a bath, buy, and sleep.

The use of nouns started with the word cita-cita or idea in the future in the top 12 of the most frequent words list. In the top 100 words, the majority of students had an idea of a doctor which come up 70 times on the top 74 lists. Following this, students wrote vocabulary dealing with house in the top 13. In line with the people in the house, students mostly talked about family members. As shown in Table 1, the word father appears 182 times, more often than mother at 152 times, 30 less than the word father. This is followed by the word grandmother which appears 146 times, big brother/sisters 122 times, grandfather 78 , times, and is siblings 53 times. Most of the students are engaged with their family members in the house.

Table 2. Top 100 words frequency from English textbooks

| No. | Words | Frequencies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | is | 508 |
| 2 | the | 315 |
| 3 | a | 228 |
| 4 | I | 208 |
| 5 | my | 183 |
| 6 | you | 143 |
| 7 | are | 135 |
| 8 | it | 130 |
| 9 | in | 119 |
| 10 | and | 100 |
| 11 | what | 97 |
| 12 | to | 88 |
| 13 | do | 83 |
| 14 | there | 82 |
| 15 | am | 67 |
| 16 | of | 67 |


| No. | Words | Frequencies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | he | 65 |
| 18 | she | 63 |
| 19 | your | 60 |
| 20 | name | 58 |
| 21 | We | 57 |
| 22 | like | 51 |
| 23 | have | 47 |
| 24 | on | 44 |
| 25 | this | 44 |
| 26 | yes | 42 |
| 27 | can | 40 |
| 28 | school | 39 |
| 29 | at | 37 |
| 30 | good | 34 |
| 31 | me | 34 |
| 32 | room | 33 |
| 33 | that | 33 |
| 34 | her | 32 |
| 35 | they | 32 |
| 36 | no | 30 |
| 37 | today | 29 |
| 38 | for | 29 |
| 39 | table | 29 |
| 40 | father | 28 |
| 41 | day | 27 |
| 42 | go | 27 |
| 43 | how | 26 |
| 44 | student | 26 |
| 45 | teacher | 25 |
| 46 | number | 25 |
| 47 | month | 25 |
| 48 | bag | 24 |
| 49 | mother | 24 |
| 50 | one | 24 |
| 51 | classroom | 24 |
| 52 | morning | 23 |
| 53 | clean | 22 |
| 54 | book | 22 |
| 55 | his | 21 |
| 56 | also | 21 |
| 57 | not | 21 |
| 58 | want | 21 |
| 59 | new | 20 |
| 60 | where | 20 |
| 51 | sister | 20 |
| 62 | play | 20 |
| 63 | twenty | 20 |
| 64 | so | 20 |
| 65 | with | 20 |
| 66 | friend | 19 |
| 67 | very | 19 |
| 68 | our | 19 |
| 69 | class | 19 |
| 70 | see | 18 |


| No. | Words | Frequencies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 71 | nice | 17 |
| 72 | house | 17 |
| 73 | theme | 17 |
| 74 | chair | 17 |
| 75 | first | 17 |
| 76 | eat | 17 |
| 77 | don't | 17 |
| 78 | thank | 16 |
| 79 | every | 16 |
| 80 | Sunday | 16 |
| 82 | English | 16 |
| 83 | may | 16 |
| 84 | living | 16 |
| 85 | favourite | 16 |
| 86 | home | 15 |
| 87 | family | 15 |
| 88 | let's | 15 |
| 89 | many | 15 |
| 90 | picture | 15 |
| 91 | Doni | 15 |
| 92 | Hi | 15 |
| 93 | was | 15 |
| 94 | after | 15 |
| 95 | hello | 14 |
| 96 | wear | 14 |
| 97 | wall | 14 |
| 98 | five | 14 |
| 99 | has | 13 |
| 100 | beautiful | 13 |

From the top 100 most frequent words used in English textbooks, students are taught mostly to use the word be which appears 508 times from a total of 8270 words. Some most frequent words that appear are my, you, it, she, he, and your which represent the use of pronouns. Until the top 20 of the most frequent words list, the textbooks use the word name as a noun that appears for the first time. This is reversed in the students' writing, in which the use of the noun comes first in the top 12 .

Some of the verbs are taught to the students such as like, have, can, and go appear in the top of 50 of the word lists. The use of word play appears in the top 62 of the 100 most frequent words, which is different from the students' writing where it comes in the top 10 and first in the list of verbs used in their writing. However, like the order of students' writing, the word play is followed by the word with in the top 65 , which is used hand in hand with the word friend in the top 66. This indicated that students like to play with a friend in the same word order in the results of the most frequent words in the students' writing.

Some nouns are often found between the top 37 and the top 55. Some words taught by the textbooks are table, father, day, student, teacher, number, month, bag, mother, classroom, morning, and book. Similar to the students' writing, the textbooks teach students to use the word father more often than mother as the word father comes in the top 40 and the word mother in the top 49. The words student and teacher are respectively in the 100 most frequent words of the English textbooks. It means that both student and teacher are equally used, mainly in the noun
list for the classroom. From the top 100 most frequent words in English textbooks, students are mostly taught the use of prepositions, pronouns, adverbs, nouns, and some verbs and adjectives.

### 3.1.2 Words used in top 100 most frequent words from both the Indonesian students' writing and the English textbook

From the most frequent words used both in students' writing and the English textbooks, the researcher classified and ranked 45 words, from the most frequent to the less frequent as shown in Table 3. The words are ranked by the sum of the number of percentages from both corpora. Firstly, the researcher compared the top 100 words list of the students' writing with the results of the top 100 most frequent words in English textbooks. Secondly, the researcher compared the top 100 words list of the English textbooks to the result of the TOP 100 most frequent words of the student's writing. It can be seen the similar words used ranked from the most frequent to the less frequentrespectively.

From Table 3, the word $I$ appears at the top of the lists. The use of the pronoun $I$ is found in $6.19 \%$ of the overall students' writing. Moreover, the word $I$ in the students' writing occurs 2098 times when this is a combination using the words saya and aku. In English textbooks, the word $I$ occurs, just 208 times, which is $2.52 \%$ of the overall text in the textbooks and less used than the students' writing. However, the word $I$ is the number 1 word of similar words used in both corpora.

The use of to be (is) becomes the second in the top 2 of the most similar words. However, the percentages of the students' writing are different. As seen in Table 3, the use of the word is or be in the students' writing reached $0.49 \%$ of the total words, while in the English textbooks reached $6.14 \%$ which is 12 times more frequent than in the students' writing.
Some equally used words both in students' writing and the English textbooks are can which reached $0,43 \%$ and $0,48 \%$, school which reached $0.42 \%$ and $0,47 \%$, Sunday which reached $0.17 \%$ and $0.19 \%$, and lastly, picture which reached $0.17 \%$ and $0.18 \%$ from the student's writing and the English textbooks respectively.

Table 3. The Rank of 45 most frequent words used both in the students' writing and the English textbooks

| Words |  | Students' Writing |  | English Textbooks | Average | Combined <br> Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Frequencies | Percentage of <br> 33916 <br> Words | Frequencies | Percentage of <br> 8270 <br> Words |  |  |
| I | 2098 | $6.35 \%$ | 208 | $2.52 \%$ | $4.43 \%$ | 1 |
| is | 161 | $0.49 \%$ | 508 | $6.14 \%$ | $3.32 \%$ | 2 |
| and | 1531 | $4.64 \%$ | 100 | $1.21 \%$ | $2.92 \%$ | 3 |
| in | 1178 | $3.57 \%$ | 119 | $1.44 \%$ | $2.50 \%$ | 4 |
| on | 1178 | $3.57 \%$ | 44 | $0.53 \%$ | $2.05 \%$ | 5 |
| at | 1148 | $3.48 \%$ | 37 | $0.45 \%$ | $1.96 \%$ | 6 |
| to | 799 | $2.42 \%$ | 88 | $1.06 \%$ | $1.74 \%$ | 7 |
| that | 847 | $2.57 \%$ | 33 | $0.40 \%$ | $1.48 \%$ | 8 |
| there | 562 | $1.70 \%$ | 82 | $0.99 \%$ | $1.35 \%$ | 9 |
| my | 124 | $0.38 \%$ | 183 | $2.21 \%$ | $1.29 \%$ | 10 |
| are | 161 | $0.49 \%$ | 135 | $1.63 \%$ | $1.06 \%$ | 11 |
| we | 255 | $0.77 \%$ | 57 | $0.69 \%$ | $0.73 \%$ | 12 |
| am | 161 | $0.49 \%$ | 67 | $0.81 \%$ | $0.65 \%$ | 13 |
| play | 315 | $0.95 \%$ | 20 | $0.24 \%$ | $0.60 \%$ | 14 |
| with | 313 | $0.95 \%$ | 20 | $0.24 \%$ | $0.59 \%$ | 15 |


| Words | Students' Writing |  | English Textbooks |  | Average | Combined Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Frequencies | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage of } \\ 33916 \\ \text { Words } \end{gathered}$ | Frequencies | Percentage of 8270 <br> Words |  |  |
| house | 306 | 0.93\% | 17 | 0.21\% | 0.57\% | 16 |
| friend | 281 | 0.85\% | 19 | 0.23\% | 0.54\% | 17 |
| very | 276 | 0.84\% | 19 | 0.23\% | 0.53\% | 18 |
| after | 285 | 0.86\% | 15 | 0.18\% | 0.52\% | 19 |
| day | 228 | 0.69\% | 27 | 0.33\% | 0.51\% | 20 |
| our | 255 | 0.77\% | 19 | 0.23\% | 0.50\% | 21 |
| go | 216 | 0.65\% | 27 | 0.33\% | 0.49\% | 22 |
| family | 261 | 0.79\% | 15 | 0.18\% | 0.49\% | 23 |
| he | 58 | 0.18\% | 65 | 0.79\% | 0.48\% | 24 |
| she | 58 | 0.18\% | 63 | 0.76\% | 0.47\% | 25 |
| for | 187 | 0.57\% | 29 | 0.35\% | 0.46\% | 26 |
| can | 141 | 0.43\% | 40 | 0.48\% | 0.46\% | 27 |
| eat | 228 | 0.69\% | 17 | 0.21\% | 0.45\% | 28 |
| father | 182 | 0.55\% | 28 | 0.34\% | 0.44\% | 29 |
| school | 138 | 0.42\% | 39 | 0.47\% | 0.44\% | 30 |
| name | 60 | 0.18\% | 58 | 0.70\% | 0.44\% | 31 |
| want | 180 | 0.55\% | 21 | 0.25\% | 0.40\% | 32 |
| see | 191 | 0.58\% | 18 | 0.22\% | 0.40\% | 33 |
| also | 171 | 0.52\% | 21 | 0.25\% | 0.39\% | 34 |
| no | 129 | 0.39\% | 30 | 0.36\% | 0.38\% | 35 |
| mother | 152 | 0.46\% | 24 | 0.29\% | 0.38\% | 36 |
| not | 129 | 0.39\% | 21 | 0.25\% | 0.32\% | 37 |
| big/little brother sister | 104 | 0.31\% | 20 | 0.24\% | 0.28\% | 38 |
| many | 114 | 0.35\% | 15 | 0.18\% | 0.26\% | 39 |
| be | 161 | 0.49\% |  | 0.00\% | 0.24\% | 40 |
| teacher | 53 | 0.16\% | 25 | 0.30\% | 0.23\% | 41 |
| beautiful | 92 | 0.28\% | 12 | 0.15\% | 0.21\% | 42 |
| Sunday | 56 | 0.17\% | 16 | 0.19\% | 0.18\% | 44 |
| picture | 56 | 0.17\% | 15 | 0.18\% | 0.18\% | 45 |

Furthermore, in the findings, the preposition $d i$ in Indonesian has multiple meanings in English such as at, in, and on and this makes a difference when used in the Indonesian and the English language. The researcher then collated the multiple meanings in the list as shown in Table 3. In line with this, the use of the pronouns we/us/our which are differently used in Indonesian are kami and kita, she, and he which have one meaning in Indonesian as dia, as well as brother and sister could mean big and little brother and sister.

Both the students' writing and the English textbooks used most similar words in pronouns, prepositions, and adverbs as Table 3. shows from 1 to 13 . The use of verbs first appears in the top 14 and nouns in the top 16 . The combination of nouns and verbs are found in the top 17 as seen in Table 3.

### 3.2 Discussion

One of the aims of this study has been to collect the most frequent words used by Indonesian teachers when compared to the vocabulary taught in English language textbooks. Nation (2016) agreed with this goal, observing that the advantage of learning the most frequent words in use, will be that learners will have the most significant opportunities to enrich their knowledge of the words and produce it by themselves. Moreover, the target of this corpus study
has addressed the needs of the participants, that is, year 4 elementary students. As Nation (2016) suggested, When creating vocabulary lists for young children, it's crucial to base them on a corpus that accurately represents the language that children encounter in their daily lives. This aligns with the findings of the corpus study, which used Bahasa Indonesia, the student's first language, to collect the data sample. Lastly, Nation (2016) also suggested that when creating a corpus for young learners, it's beneficial to ensure that the corpus includes a similar proportion of different text types as the types of language that children encounter in their daily lives. This also meets the criterion of the data collection, in which the researcher used students' free writing which included text which recounted the students' experiences and the narrative texts of the stories that students had developed.

Concerning the vocabulary used by the students, O'Brien et al. (2014) stated that children's vocabulary knowledge varies greatly when they start school, and the extent of their early vocabulary knowledge has important consequences for their language development, both in the short term and in the long term. This language development could be linked to the language that students want to learn which, in this study, could be both the Indonesian and English languages. Moreover, the importance of word frequency revealed in a corpus of firstlanguage words can improve an understanding of which words are used with which other words (Cameron, 2011). Thus, this study highlighted the vocabulary that students have already known as the basis for teaching vocabulary that is appropriate to the textbooks.

Some findings from this study show some compatibility with some previous theories and studies. Firstly, the top 100 most frequent words from the student's writing and the English textbooks both shared a similar use of the pronoun I, which is in line with (Liswahyuningsih \& Bal, 2015) who stated that the use of the word I in the L1 language, may also occur when learning a foreign language. In telling narratives, children still need to learn how to create thematic structure through language, while still developing pronouns and determiners,
In the top 100 most frequent words, the use of the word di in Indonesian could be translated into multiple meanings in the English language, such as at/in/on. The word I in English could be used as saya or $a k u$ in the Indonesian language. This is in line with the theory that different languages have different ways of providing meaning (Regier et al., 2015).

Furthermore, many theories claim that the correlation between L1 acquisition and L2 acquisition influences the vocabulary of language learning the schema is usually constructed throughout childhood, within the first language culture (Chavez, 2016; Jordan, 2016).This means that when a foreign language is learned, it will be mapped based on the first language words that have already been built up. Moreover, a concept of L2 acquisitions proposed by (Kim \& Harris, 2014) stated that children tend to learn indirectly and to learn information from everything around them. This is very important for the teacher to know what students have learned, particularly the vocabulary that they have already acquired. The wordlist of the top 100 most frequently used words by Indonesian students in free writing could be used by teachers as guidance in teaching English as a foreign language.

It can be seen from the findings of the study that this could be used as a comparison with the initial studies which also had the same interest in language acquisition. In the findings, the word list produced from the English textbooks found that the use to be or is, occurred most frequently. This is in line with the Panggabean (2015) study which revealed that Indonesianspeaking learners of English tend to use is, am, and are regardless of different tenses and types of sentences they build up, and which there are commonly found to be mistaken in using and distinguishing them from other auxiliary verbs.

The study is like the findings revealed by which investigated L1 and L2 learning in L2 languages such as Germanic, Japanese, Turkish, and Indonesian, through writing, and found that there were similarities in using lexical and cohesive patterns. Regarding the correlation of the L1 and L2 acquisitions, the findings determined a $45 \%$ comparison between the top 100 most frequent words of the student's writing and the English textbooks. This finding also revealed that the two different languages share similar uses of the basic concept of vocabulary. This statement is related to the investigation conducted by Guo and Huang (2020), which utilized bilingual and learner analysis techniques to provide evidence of the influence of a learner's first language on their advanced writing in a second language. In particular, the research showed a connection between two distinct language studies within the corpus and the impact it has on the process of acquiring a new language.

It has been stated before that another aim of this study was to investigate the vocabulary taught in English textbooks compared to the words that students have already acquired, through their writing. Kim \& Harris (2014) stated that the positive use of L1 could lead to positive foreign language learning when vocabulary learning begins around a topic from the learners rather than from the textbook. This means that the teacher can ask students which words they already know and which words they want to learn in a foreign language. This is in line with the methodology of this study which looks at the words L1 learners know from their writing, as a base for creating word-lists as guidance for learning other vocabularies.

Lastly, according to Panggabean (2015), in his study of EFL teaching and learning, for some elementary students, the materials and vocabularies need to be introduced from what they see every day in the classroom and their neighborhoods, from their daily activities to contextualize the materials within the students' context. This could be related to the results of the most frequent words used by the students when dealing with the classroom situations, such as teacher, students, school, class, bag, and books.

From the findings, we could see the classification of the topics distributed in the five selected textbooks. The vocabularies taught from the topics are varied and some of the textbooks shared the same topics such as greetings, number, calendar, family, schools, and food. Butler (2022) claimed that when teaching the vocabulary of a topic, it is better to begin with basic-level items, for example, food, such as pizza or rocket. This is because the vocabulary of the course books is predictable, particularly for young learners.

## 4. CONCLUSION

The study analyzed the top 100 most frequently used words in the free writing of Indonesian year 4 students and English language textbooks. The study found that the lists of the top 100 most frequently used words in the two different language systems had a $45 \%$ similarity. The use of the pronoun "I" was the most frequent occurrence in both corpora, with the use of the word "saya" and "aku" in Indonesian students' writing.

The study has significant implications for the Indonesian education system. The top 100 most frequent words used by Indonesian students could be developed into teaching materials such as posters, which could be used in classrooms throughout Indonesia, particularly in Malang. Additionally, the corpus could be published for learners who are interested in learning new words in Bahasa Indonesia, providing valuable resources to help them improve their language skills.

However, the study's limitations suggest that the findings may not be widely applicable due to the diverse cultural and linguistic backgrounds in Indonesia, and further research is
needed to confirm the findings in other regions. Nevertheless, the study provides a foundation for future research that could improve language education in Indonesia. The use of corpus in linguistics can guide analyzing students' writing and textbooks, which can be beneficial for language education.
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